

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

“Kamat Towers” 7th Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001

Tel: 0832 2437880 E-mail: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in Website: www.scic.goa.gov.in

Shri. Sanjay N. Dhavalikar, State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 346/2023/SIC

Mrs. Priyanka P. Vaingankar,
R/o H.No. 1341/A, “Aditri Niwas”
St. Barbara, St. Cruz,
Tiswadi-Goa 403005.

-----Appellant

v/s

1. Smt. Shambhavi Gaonkar,
Public Information Officer/ Head Clerk,
Directorate of Women & Child Development,
Panaji-Goa 403001.

2. Smt. Sangeeta Porob,
First Appellate Authority,
Directorate of Women & Child Development,
Panaji-Goa 403001.

-----Respondents

Relevant dates emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on	: 21/06/2023
PIO replied on	: 20/07/2023
First appeal filed on	: 31/07/2023
First Appellate Authority order passed on	: 25/08/2023
Second appeal received on	: 27/09/2023
Decided on	: 08/01/2024

ORDER

1. The appellant, being aggrieved by the action of the Public Information Officer (PIO) and order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA), under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, has filed second appeal against Respondent No. 1, PIO and Respondent No. 2, FAA. The said appeal came before the Commission on 27/09/2023.
2. It is the contention of the appellant that, the PIO did not furnish complete information, hence, she filed first appeal before the FAA. The appellant further contends that, the FAA, while disposing the first appeal, failed to give relief to her. Thus, she has appeared before the Commission by way of second appeal.
3. Pursuant to the notice, appellant appeared in person pressing for complete information. Smt. Shambhavi Gaonkar, PIO appeared and on 23/11/2023 filed reply. Smt. Iria Fernandes, Head Clerk appeared

on behalf of Respondent FAA, under letter of authority and filed reply dated 23/11/2023.

4. During the proceeding, it was observed that, initially only part information was furnished to the appellant. Also, that, appellant was not provided inspection of relevant documents as desired by her vide application dated 21/06/2023.
5. Upon considering the above-mentioned facts, the Commission directed the PIO to provide inspection on 13/12/2023 to the appellant.
6. During the hearing on 04/01/2024, PIO vide a submission stated that, as directed by the Commission, she has provided inspection and also furnished copies of information to the appellant. On the other hand, appellant acknowledged receipt of the information and stated that she is not pressing for other prayers.
7. In the background of the facts as mentioned above, the Commission finds that the information sought by the appellant has been furnished to her. Thus, there is no any need of further intervention in the present matter.
8. Hence, the instant appeal is disposed accordingly and the proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition, as no further appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sd/-

Sanjay N. Dhavalikar

State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa.

